

## A scaled $\varepsilon$ -subgradient method

<u>Alessandro Benfenati<sup>‡</sup>, Silvia Bonettini<sup>†</sup>, Valeria Ruggiero<sup>†</sup></u>



<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Ferrara, <sup>‡</sup>Université Paris–Est LIGM

## $\varepsilon\textsc{-Subgradient}$ Method: a Scaled Version

The optimization problem reads as  $\min_{x} f(x) + \Phi(x)$ 

Scaled  $\varepsilon$  subgradient method: generalization of the Forward Backward algorithm

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_k \Phi, D_k^{-1}} \left( \mathbf{x}^k - \alpha_k D_k \mathbf{u}^k \right)$$

- $u^k \in \partial_{\varepsilon_k} f(x^k)$  for some  $\varepsilon_k \ge 0$
- $\alpha_k$  is a positive stepsize
- D<sub>k</sub> is a symmetric positive definite matrix with bounded eigenvalues
- $||y||_{D^{-1}} = y^{\top} D^{-1} y$
- e.g.  $\Phi = i_X$ , with  $X \subset \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , closed, convex set
- ►  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  convex, proper, I.s.c  $\alpha_k$  chosen as in the classical  $\varepsilon$ -subgradient method (e.g., function (possible non-differentiable) constant stepsize, Ermoliev series).
- Φ convex, proper, l.s.c function; dom(Φ) ⊂ dom(f)

Assumption:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\varepsilon_k=0$$

## **Convergence Results**

Assume that both the  $\varepsilon$ -subgradients of f and  $\Phi$  and the eigenvalues of  $D_k$  are bounded. Let set  $f^* = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) + \Phi(x))$  and define  $X^*$  as the set of solutions; under the assumptions on (1)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \epsilon_k = 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k^2 < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k \alpha_k < \infty$$
(2)

one has

a) lim inf(f(x<sup>k</sup>) + Φ(x<sup>k</sup>)) = f\*;
b) If {x<sup>k</sup>} is bounded, there exists a limit point of it belonging to X\*;
c) If X\* ≠ Ø lim x<sup>k</sup> = x\* ∈ X\* and lim (f(x<sup>k</sup>) + Φ(x<sup>k</sup>)) = f\* = f(x\*)
d) If X\* = Ø, {x<sup>k</sup>} is unbounded.

The convergence rate is quite pessimistic  $\left(\sim (\sum \alpha_k)^{-1}\right)$ , but the numerical experience shows that the actual performance of the scaled method overcomes the non scaled version.

## Application: Image Restoration with Poisson Noise

(1)

Let consider a blurred image affected by Poisson noise: the aim is to restore the image by solving  $\min f(x) + \Phi(x) \equiv f_0(x) + f_1(Ax) + \Phi(x)$ ► g is the blurred and noisy 2)  $f_0(x)$  is the generalized Kullback–Leibler diimage; vergence: b is a constant background term;  $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{i} g_i \log \frac{g_i}{(Hx)_i + b} + (Hx)_i + b - g_i \quad \text{term;} \quad H \text{ is the linear blurring}$ operator.  $\Phi(x) = i_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_i \ge 0\}}, \quad f_1(Ax) = \beta \sum ||A_i x||, \ A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times n} \text{ (Total Variation)}$ Micro Test problem:  $128 \times 128, \max(x^*) = 690$  $\frac{\|g - x^*\|}{\|x^*\|} = 0.1442$  $\beta = 0.0477$ 

**Dynamic rule**  
$$\alpha_k = \frac{f(x^k) - f_k}{\|u^k\|^2} \text{ or } \alpha_k = \frac{f(x^k) - f_k}{\max\{1, \|u^k\|^2\}}$$

Assumption:  $\varepsilon$ -subgradients of f and  $\Phi$  bounded.

Inspired by the Polyak rule,  $f_k$  is an estimation of  $f^*$ : a *level algorithm* (Goffin 99) is employed to obtain such an estimation.



The Scaled Primal Dual Hybrid Algorithm (SPDHG) reads as

$$y^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau_k f_1^*, Id}(y^k + \tau_k A x^k)$$
$$u^k = d^k + A^\top y^{k+1}$$
$$x^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_k \Phi, D_k^{-1}}(x^k - \alpha_k D_k u^k)$$

$$u^k = U_k - V_k, \quad U_k \ge 0, V_k > 0 \ D_k = \min \{L_k^{-1}, \max \{x^k/V_k, L_k\}\} \ L_k = \sqrt{1 + \gamma_k}.$$

Assume that  $d^k = \nabla f(x^k)$ ,  $A^\top y^{k+1} \in \partial_{\varepsilon_k} \Phi(x^k)$  and the eigenvalues of  $D_k$  are bounded.

$$\alpha_{k} = \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-p}\right), \ \tau_{k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{p}), \ \gamma_{k} = \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-q}\right), \ \frac{1}{2} 1$$

If diam $(dom(f_1^*)) < \infty$  then  $\liminf_{k \to \infty} f(x^k) + \Phi(x^k) = f^*.$ If the set of the solutions  $X^* \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x^k = x^* \in X^*$  and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} f(x^k) + \Phi(x^k) = f^* = f(x^*).$ 





All the technical details and complete references are available in S. Bonettini, A. Benfenati, and V. Ruggiero, *Scaling Techniques for*  $\varepsilon$ -*Subgradient Methods*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 2016 26:3, 1741-1772

Optimiziation Techniques For Inverse Problems III - 20 September 2016